

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,215	08/07/2003	Rebecca Gottlieb	047711-0316	3315
FOLEY & LARDNER 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, PHILLIP A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/638,215	GOTTLIEB ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Phillip Gray	3767			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	I Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMN 1.136(a). In no event, however, if d will apply and will expire SIX (6 ute, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	August 2007.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	· 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 and 49-54 is/are pending in the	e application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 49-54</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiremer	it.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad	ccepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the I					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
. a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure					
* 9	• •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
· =	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Othe	er:			

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication of 8/24/2007.

Currently amended claims 1-23 and new claims 49-54 are pending and rejected.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 and new claims 49-54 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3767

Claims 1-11,14-23 and 49-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barry (U.S. Patent Application Number US2002/0077592 A1) in view of Adair et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,211,904). Barry discloses a replenishable stent and drug delivery system (see figures 1-16 and paragraphs at [0002]-[0048] generally, specific embodiments at [0067]-[0097]). Barry discloses a method for mitigating restenosis at a trauma site (where a stent is located) within the vasculature comprising: positioning a balloon catheter adjacent, interior to the stent, before or after a stent procedure, at a trauma site; and extending a sensor through a lumen in the catheter and through the stent (see element 255 and figures 11,13-15); and delivering a restenosis mitigating drug through apertures in the balloon catheter, upstream to the trauma site. The Barry sensor (255) sensing element is located on one side of and is spaced from the stent (as in figure 13) and the outlet of the catheter is located on the opposite side of the stent at which the sensing element is located, so that the stent is between the outlet and sensor.

Barry discloses the balloon catheter abuts a wall of the vasculature at the trauma site after the balloon catheter is expanded and also adjusting the flow rate and dispersal pattern of the restenosis mitigating drug. Barry further discloses using a restenosis mitigating agent or drug, which would include the use of insulin, nitric oxide, antibody, steroid, interleukin, blood thinner, ect. (see paragraph [0075]).

Barry discloses the claimed invention except for the step of extending the sensor "through the stent to a position located outside of the catheter and outside of the stent" (as added in the applicant's most recent amendments). Adair et al. teaches that it is

Art Unit: 3767

known to use the step of extending the sensor through the stent to a position located outside of the catheter and outside of the stent (see Adair figures 8 and 9) as set forth in paragraphs beginning at column 19 lines 7-57, to provide the surgeon a view of the interior wall of the artery. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method as taught by Barry with the step of extending the sensor "through the stent to a position located outside of the catheter and outside of the stent" as taught by Adair, since such a modification would provide the method with the step of extending the sensor "through the stent to a position located outside of the catheter and outside of the stent" for providing the surgeon with a complete view of the interior wall of the artery and the stent attachment site.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barry in view Adair in further view of Silver (U.S. Patent Number 6,442,413). Silver discloses an implantable glucose sensor that can be used for implantation in a blood vessel.

Barry in view Adair discloses the claimed invention of a method for mitigating restenosis at a trauma site at which a stent and catheter and sensor are located except for the sensor sensing analyte or glucose. Silver teaches that it is known to use a method where the delivery of the restenosis mitigating drug is modified in response to the sensing of analyte by a sensor as set forth beginning at paragraphs at column 6 line 65 to provide a means to monitor and control glucose levels in the environment. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 3767

was made to modify the method as taught by Barry in view Adair with delivery of the restenosis mitigating drug is modified in response to the sensing of analyte by a sensor as taught by Silver since such a modification would provide the method to treat restenosis with a sensor for sensing analyte for providing a means to monitor and control glucose levels in the environment.

Claims 4, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barry in view Adair. Barry in view Adair discloses the claimed invention except for the specific mention of using the specific drugs. Examiner believes these drugs to be implicitly stated in the Barry in view Adair reference and thus an appropriate rejection. However if not directly disclosed in Barry in view Adair, they are obvious. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a restenosis mitigating drug of insulin, nitric oxide, antibody, steroid, interleukin, blood thinner, ect, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960)*.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gray whose telephone number is (571) 272-7180.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/638,215

Art Unit: 3767

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heven C. Karmons

PAG